

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,644	NGUYEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel P. Stephenson	3672	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Daniel P. Stephenson.

(3) _____.

(2) James Bradley.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2006

Time: 11:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was related to the applicant that the case was in condition for allowance except for a formality issue with claim 3 and a slight change that needed to be made to claim 9. It was related the the prior art could possibly read on claim 9 unless the "parallel surface" were further defined by the word "planar". The applicant agreed to the changes.